### INTERNATIONAL UNION OF PURE AND APPLIED CHEMISTRY

PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY DIVISION COMMISSION ON MOLECULAR STRUCTURE AND SPECTROSCOPY\*

### PRESENTATION OF MOLECULAR PARAMETER VALUES FOR INFRARED AND RAMAN INTENSITY MEASUREMENTS

(Recommendations 1988)

Prepared for publication by
M. GUSSONI<sup>1</sup>, G. ZERBI<sup>1</sup> AND W. PERSON<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dipartmento di Chimica Industriale e Ingegneria Chimica, Politecnico di Milano, Piazza Leonardo da Vinci 32, Milano, Italy <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611, USA

\*Membership of the Commission for varying periods during which the report was prepared (1981–85) was as follows:

Chairman: 1981–83 C. N. R. Rao (India); 1983–85 J. R. Durig (USA); Secretary: 1981–83 J. R. Durig (USA); 1983–85 H. A. Willis (UK); Titular Members: A. M. Bradshaw (FRG); B. G. Derendjaev (USSR); S. Forsen (Sweden); V. A. Koptyug (USSR); C. Sandorfy (Canada); J. F. J. Todd (UK); D. W. Turner (UK); Associate Members: R. D. Brown (Australia); J. G. Grasselli (USA); R. K. Harris (UK); H. Kon (USA); J. P. Maier (Switzerland); Yu N. Molin (USSR); W. B. Person (USA); H. Ratajczak (Poland); C. J. H. Schutte (R. South Africa); M. Tasumi (Japan); National Representatives: R. Colin (Belgium); Y. Kawano (Brazil); G. Herzberg (Canada); J. Lu (Chinese Chemical Society); Z. Luz (Israel); B. Jezowska-Trzebiatowska (Poland); S. Ng (Malaysia); H. Thompson† (UK).

†Deceased

Republication of this report is permitted without the need for formal IUPAC permission on condition that an acknowledgement, with full reference together with IUPAC copyright symbol (© 1988 IUPAC), is printed. Publication of a translation into another language is subject to the additional condition of prior approval from the relevant IUPAC National Adhering Organization.

# Presentation of molecular parameter values for infrared and Raman intensity measurements (Recommendations 1988)

Abstract - The field of basic and applied studies of infrared and Raman intensities is growing, and more experimental data as well as theoretical works are appearing in the scientific literature. The aim of the document is to point out where lack of common definitions and common use of dynamical and spectroscopic quantities may give rise to confusion among various authors. Recommendations are made as to the correct description of the sign of the various vibrational coordinates used, and of the eigenvectors derived from the dynamical matrix.

Studies of vibrational intensities in infrared and Raman spectroscopy have recently attracted the attention of an increasing number of workers (1). Knowledge of the wavenumber dependence of the absorption coefficient in the infrared or scattering power of molecules in the Raman spectrum is increasingly important for applications which range from ordinary analytical chemistry through solid state physics all the way to astrophysics. At present such studies are conducted in laboratories all over the world, and the interest is both experimental and theoretical.

The theory attempts to correlate and organize the experimental results by interpretation based on quantum mechanical calculations or on models which try to find parameters to characterize experimental intensities in the same way that force constants have parametrized potential fields for the vibrational frequencies.

It is sometimes difficult to compare experimental data or theoretical predictions in the literature because of a lack of proper definition of the quantities used. Some of these quantities are considered here.

Infrared or Raman intensities of the  $i^{th}$  fundamental mode of vibration (designated  $I_i^{IR}$  or  $I_i^{Raman}$ , respectively) can be measured by the peak height of the absorption or scattering band, but are usually and more meaningfully measured experimentally by the integrated absorbance (taken to base e, either  $\int \ln(I_0/I) dv$  or  $\int \ln(I_0/I) d(\ln v)$ , where the integral is taken over the  $i^{th}$  band (2)) or the corresponding expression of the scattering power  $I_i/I_0$  (where  $I_i$  is the integrated radiant intensity for the  $i^{th}$  Raman band and  $I_0$  is the incident radiance) for the Raman scattering. Whatever the experimental definition of the infrared intensity, it is proportional to the square of the theoretical matrix element for the transition moment  $\langle 1|\mathbf{M}|0\rangle$  obtained from theory by integrating the product of the excited state vibrational wavefunction,  $\psi_{1i}$ , times the result of operating on the vibrational ground state wavefunction  $\psi_{0i}$  by the vector dipole moment operator,  $\mathbf{M}$ . The integration is made with respect to the vibrational coordinate  $Q_i$ , over all space. Similarly the intensity of the Raman scattering is proportional to  $|\langle 1|\alpha|0\rangle|^2$ , where  $\alpha$  is the polarizability operator.

If the vibration is both electrically and mechanically harmonic, (the usual simplifying assumption for interpretation of data),

$$I_i^{\text{IR}} \sim \sum_{u}^{3} |\partial M_u / \partial Q_i|^2$$
 and  $I_i^{\text{Raman}} \sim \sum_{u,v}^{3} |\partial \alpha_{u,v} / \partial Q_i|^2$ .

Here  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M_x}\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{M_y}\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{M_z}\mathbf{k}$  is the molecular dipole moment,  $\alpha$  is the molecular polarizability tensor,  $\mathcal{Q}_i$  is the  $i^{th}$  normal coordinate and the summation is over all the components  $(u=x,\ y,\ z;\ v=x,\ y,\ z)$ . The most important point to be made here is that the experimentally measured intensity is proportional to the <u>square</u> of the theoretically important intensity parameter  $(\partial M_u/\partial \mathcal{Q}_i)$  or  $(\partial \alpha_{u,v}/\partial \mathcal{Q}_i)$ . Hence the experimental measured intensity does not determine the <u>sign</u> of these parameters. In the theoretical interpretation of intensities, the next step is usually to derive new parameters, such as those relating to bond or group motions, from the values of  $\partial M_u/\partial \mathcal{Q}_i$  by linear combinations of the latter. As a result, the values of these new parameters depend strongly on the choice of sign for each of the  $\partial N - \partial N -$ 

There are several methods for the determination of these signs, both by experimental studies and by approximate quantum mechanical calculations of these derivatives. However, the results from studies by different authors often cannot be compared because there had been no definition by the author either of the reference system of cartesian coordinates in which  $\mathbf{M}$  or  $\mathbf{\alpha}$  are described or of the phase of the normal coordinate  $\mathcal{Q}_{\underline{\mathbf{J}}}$ . For this reason it is hereby recommended that the following conventions be adopted.

## 1. The coordinate systems used in the interpretation of the data must be clearly and explicitly defined.

The orientation of the cartesian axes system with respect to the molecule should be shown or described explicitly, as should the orientation of any permanent dipole moment.

In addition to the cartesian axes system, there are several other coordinate systems that must be defined. These include (3,4) the column vector of cartesian displacement coordinates  $\mathbf{X}$ , defined so that a displacement of atom i in the positive x direction  $\Delta x_i$ , for example, is positive; the column vector of internal displacement coordinates  $\mathbf{R}$ , the column vector of symmetry displacement coordinates  $\mathbf{S}$  and the column vector of the normal coordinates  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Here the internal displacement coordinates must be clearly defined. The sign convention for the out-of-plane bending and for the torsional coordinates must be specified explicitly, as pointed out in the IUPAC Recommendations on Definition and Symbolism of Molecular Force Constants (5).

The definition of the  $S_i$  elements as linear combinations of  $R_j$  elements, and the sign of  $S_i$ , must be reported explicitly. When two **S** coordinates are degenerate, the definitions of the degenerate coordinates in **S** or in a symmetrized **X** matrix should be iso-oriented with cartesian axes which belong to the same irreducible representation.

When all of these coordinate definitions have been given, the phase of the normal coordinate is then defined by giving the eigenvector matrix  $\mathbf{L}$ :

$$R = LQ$$

or

$$X = L^{x}Q$$

2. All studies which deal with sign determinations of  $\partial M_{ij}/\partial Q_{ij}$  or of  $\partial \alpha_{ij}/\partial Q_{ij}$  should report the L matrix completely, in addition to the coordinate definition recommended in 1.

Notice that the ambiguities in the definition of the phase of  $\mathcal{Q}_1$  arise from the fact that both  $L_i$  and  $-L_i$  are column eigenvectors that solve the same secular equation with the same eigenvalues. Two solutions of the same problem may be obtained with opposite phases from two computing programs, even when exactly the same input data are used. From the viewpoint of dynamics the phase of  $Q_i$  is irrelevant, but this is not the case in intensity calcula-

3. If quantum mechanical calculations of intensity parameters are made by finite difference methods (for example  $\partial M_u/\partial R_i \sim [M_u(\Delta R_i) - M_u^e]/\Delta R_i$ ), then it is very important to describe carefully exactly how the displacement was made.

These displacements  $(\Delta R_j$  or  $\Delta S_j$ ) in a finite difference calculation should in principle be displacements corresponding to a purely vibrational motion, to give a displacement coordinate that is orthogonal to the pure translations and rotations of the molecule. The vibrational displacements satisfy the Eckart-Saytzev conditions (3,4). the  $i^{ ext{th}}$  element of the column vector of symmetrical cartesian displacements for each atom,  $X_i$ , is related to the column vector of symmetry displacement coordinates S by:

$$X_i = (A^S)_i S.$$

Here  $(\mathbf{A}^S)_i$  is the  $i^{th}$  row of the  $\mathbf{A}^S$  matrix defined by:

$$A^{S} = m^{-1} (B^{S})^{\dagger} (G^{S})^{-1};$$

 $m^{-1}$  is the diagonal matrix of inverse atomic masses and the  $B^S$  and  $G^S$  matrices are defined in Ref. 3. (The " $\dagger$ " designates the transpose of the  ${\bf B}^s$  matrix.)

If  $S_i$  in a finite difference calculation is not defined in this way (or by some other equivalent method for satisfying the Eckart-Saytzev conditions) then the displacement coordinate mixes pure vibrational displacements with translational and rotational displacements and the so called "rotational corrections" (6) must be introduced in the interpretation of the calculated dipole derivative for infrared intensity calculations or of the calculated polarizability derivatives for Raman intensity calculations in the case of a non-spherical molecular polarizability tensor.

#### REFERENCES

- "Vibrational Intensities in Infrared and Raman Spectroscopy", (W. B. Person and G. Zerbi, eds.), Elsevier (1982).
- B. Crawford, Jr., J. Chem. Phys., 29, 1042 (1958). E. B. Wilson, Jr., J. C. Decius and P. C. Cross, "Molecular Vibrations", McGraw-Hill, N.Y. (1955).
- M. V. Volkenstein, M. A. Elyashevich and B. I. Stepanov, "Kolebaniya Molekul", Gosteknizdat, Moscow (1949).
- Definition and Symbolism of Molecular Force Constants (Recommendations 1978), Pure 5.
- Appl. Chem., <u>50</u>, 1707 (1978). B. Crawford, <u>Jr</u>., J. Chem. Phys., <u>20</u>, 977 (1952). 6.